

Abstract 41

MEASURING REGIONAL COMPETITIVENESS AT EUROPEAN LEVEL: A TOPSIS APPROACH

Academic paper

Ferrarini F^[1], Muzzioli S^[1], De Baets B^[2]

^[1]Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia ~ Modena ~ Italy, ^[2]Ghent University ~ Ghent ~ Belgium

Abstract text:

Regional competitiveness is a complex, dynamic, and multidimensional phenomenon that needs to be measured in a comprehensive way. Regional competitiveness it difficult to analyse, therefore it should be assessed through a comparative approach. In this paper we revisit the EU Regional Competitiveness Index 2019 (RCI) using the Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS), a multiple criteria decision making (MCDM) method, by comparing it with three different distance measures: the Manhattan, Euclidean and Mahalanobis distance measures.

The analysis, which consists of a comparative and multi-level approach taken the RCI as the benchmark, carried out throughout three stages: a system of ranking followed up by regional focus along with clustering, leads to several findings. First, the Manhattan distance measure replicates the RCI, exhibiting the latter to be appropriately considered as the benchmark of the analysis and the Manhattan distance measure to bridge between TOPSIS method with the methodology of the RCI. Moreover, the Mahalanobis distance measure presents the greatest dissimilarity from the RCI, unveiling high correlations among RCI pillars. Second, when applying the distance measures, region situated different positions from the ranking in the RCI, showing distance measures to have a high impact on original positions of regions. Third, considering distance measures on the RCI clusters exhibited changes on cluster membership of some regions, which underlines a change in their competitiveness level. Therefore, we observed on one side, that RCI clusters alter their composition of regions with respect to their initial composition, on the other side, that a change of cluster membership means a revision of the competitiveness level for regions. Finally, the comparative analysis of the membership of regions to clusters of competitiveness across rankings sets apart five different categories of regions in Europe: Unaffected regions, Correlation affected regions, Affected regions, Border-line regions and Reclassified regions. The insights support the comparative approach by using TOPSIS method to offer a new perspective on regional competitiveness, which proves useful for policymakers when addressing territorial disparities.

References (Harvard style):

- Annoni, P., de Dominicis, L., & Khabirpouri, N. (2019). The great recession: Main determinants of regional economic resilience in the EU. European Union. <http://tiny.cc/y1o4tz>
- Annoni, P., & Dijkstra, L. (2017). Measuring and monitoring regional competitiveness in the European Union. In Handbook of regions and competitiveness. Contemporary theories and perspectives on economic development. Cheltenham, UK. Edward Elgar Publishing. P. 49–72. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781783475018.00008>
- Annoni, P., & Dijkstra, L. (2019). The EU Regional competitiveness index 2019. European Union. <http://tiny.cc/z1o4tz>
- Annoni, P., Dijkstra, L., & Gargano, N. (2016). The EU Regional Competitiveness Index 2016. European Union. <http://tiny.cc/12o4tz>
- Annoni, P., & Kozovska, K. (2010). EU regional competitiveness index 2010. European Union. Scientific and Technical Research Reports. doi 10.2788/88040

- Bartkowska, M., & Riedl, A. (2012). Regional convergence clubs in Europe: Identification and conditioning factors. *Economic Modelling*, 29(1), 22–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2011.01.013>
- Beg Sufyan M.M & Ahmad N. (2003). *Soft Computing Techniques for Rank Aggregation on the World Wide Web*. World Wide Web, 6, 5-22. Kluwer Academic Publishers. The Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022344031752>
- Behzadian, M., Khanmohammadi Otaghsara, S., Yazdani, M., & Ignatius, J. (2012). A state-of-the-art survey of TOPSIS applications. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(17), 13051–13069. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2012.05.056>
- Bilbao-Terol, A., Arenas-Parra, M., & Onopko-Onopko, V. (2019). Measuring regional sustainable competitiveness: A multi-criteria approach. *Operational Research*, 19(3), 637–660. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12351-017-0367-9>
- Boschma, R. (2004). Competitiveness of Regions from an Evolutionary Perspective. *Regional Studies*, 38(9), 1001–1014. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0034340042000292601>
- Bosker, M. (2009). The spatial evolution of regional GDP disparities in the ‘old’ and the ‘new’ Europe*. *Papers in Regional Science*, 88(1), 3–27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1435-5957.2008.00183.x>
- Bristow, G. (2010). Resilient regions: Re-‘place’ing regional competitiveness. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, 3(1), 153–167. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjres/rsp030>
- Bronisz, U., Heijman, W., & Miszczuk, A. (2008). Regional competitiveness in Poland: Creating an index. *Jahrbuch fur Regionalwissenschaft*, 133–143. doi:10.1007/s10037-008-0026-y
- Budd, L., & Hirmis, A. (2004). Conceptual Framework for Regional Competitiveness. *Regional Studies*, 38(9), 1015–1028. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0034340042000292610>
- Camagni, R., & Capello, R. (2013). Regional Competitiveness and Territorial Capital: A Conceptual Approach and Empirical Evidence from the European Union. *Regional Studies*, 47(9), 1383–1402. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2012.681640>
- Capello, R., & Nijkamp, P. (2009). Introduction: regional growth and development theories in the twenty-first century – recent theoretical advances and future challenges. In *Handbook of Regional Growth and Development Theories*. Cheltenham, UK Edward Elgar. P. 1-18.
- Cappellin, R. (2003). Territorial knowledge management: Towards a metrics of the cognitive dimension of agglomeration economies. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 26 (2/3/4), 303-325. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTM.2003.003384>
- Carayannis, E. G., Goletsis, Y., & Grigoroudis, E. (2018). Composite innovation metrics: MCDA and the Quadruple Innovation Helix framework. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 131, 4–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.03.008>
- Çelen, A. (2014). Comparative Analysis of Normalization Procedures in TOPSIS Method: With an Application to Turkish Deposit Banking Market. *Informatica*, 25(2), 185–208. <https://doi.org/10.15388/Informatica.2014.10>
- Chakraborty, S., & Yeh, C.-H. (2009). A simulation comparison of normalization procedures for TOPSIS. 2009 International Conference on Computers Industrial Engineering, 1815–1820. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCIE.2009.5223811>
- Chang, C.-H., Lin, J.-J., Lin, J.-H., & Chiang, M.-C. (2010). Domestic open-end equity mutual fund performance evaluation using extended TOPSIS method with different distance approaches. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 37(6), 4642–4649. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2009.12.044>
- Christopherson, S., Michie, J., & Tyler, P. (2010). Regional resilience: Theoretical and empirical perspectives. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, 3(1), 3–10. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjres/rsq004>
- Ciocanel, A. B., & Pavelescu, F. M. (2015). Innovation and Competitiveness in European Context. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 32, 728–737. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(15\)01455-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)01455-0)
- Corrado, L., Martin, R., & Weeks, M. (2005). Identifying and interpreting regional convergence clusters across Europe. *The Economic Journal*, 133-160. doi.org/10.1111/j.0013-0133.2005.00984.x

- Diaconis, P., & Graham, R. L. (1977). Spearman's Footrule as a Measure of Disarray. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 39(2), 262–268. JSTOR. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1977.tb01624.x>
- Dijkstra, L., Annoni, P., & Kozovska, K. (2011). A New Regional Competitiveness Index: Theory, Methods and Findings. Working papers. European Union <http://tiny.cc/r8s4tz>
- Ertur, C., Le Gallo, J., & Baumont, C. (2006). The European Regional Convergence Process, 1980-1995: Do Spatial Regimes and Spatial Dependence Matter? *International Regional Science Review*, 29(1), 3–34. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0160017605279453>
- European Union (2011). The territorial state and perspectives of the European Union. European Union. <http://bitly.ws/ayQR>
- European Union. (2017a). My region, my Europe, our future. European Union. <http://tiny.cc/j2o4tz>
- European Union. (2017b). Study on macroregional strategies and their links with cohesion policy final report. European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2776/76242>
- Euzenat, J., & Shvaiko, P. (2007). *Ontology Matching*. Vol 18 New York Springer Berlin Heidelberg. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38721-0>
- Evers, D. (2008). Reflections on Territorial Cohesion and European Spatial Planning. *Tijdschrift Voor Economische En Sociale Geografie*, 99(3), 303–315. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9663.2008.00463.x>
- Fagin, R., Kumar, R., Mahdian, M., Sivakumar, D., & Vee, E. (2006). Comparing Partial Rankings. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 20(3), 628–648. <https://doi.org/10.1137/05063088X>
- Foray, D., David, P., & Hall, B. (2009). Measuring Smart Specialisation: The concept and the need for indicators. Knowledge for Growth Expert Group. <http://tiny.cc/73o4tz>
- Galor, O. (1996). Convergence? Inferences from Theoretical Models. *The Economic Journal*, 106(437), 1056–1069. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2235378>
- Greene, F. J., Tracey, P., & Cowling, M. (2007). Recasting the City into City-Regions: Place Promotion, Competitiveness Benchmarking and the Quest for Urban Supremacy. *Growth and Change*, 38(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2257.2007.00350.x>
- Huggins, R. (2003). Creating a UK Competitiveness Index: Regional and Local Benchmarking. *Regional Studies*, 37(1), 89–96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0034340022000033420>
- Huggins, R., Davies, W. (2006) 'European Competitiveness Index 2006-07.' University of Wales Institute, Cardiff – UWIC: Robert Huggins Associates Ltd.
- Huggins, R., Izushi, H., Daniel, P., & Thompson, P. (2014). The global competitiveness of regions. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203799130>
- Huggins, R., Izushi, H., & Thompson, P. (2013). Regional Competitiveness: Theories and Methodologies for Empirical Analysis. *Journal of Centrum Cathedra (JCC): The Business and Economics Research Journal*, 6(2), 155–172. <https://doi.org/10.7835/jcc-berj-2013-0086>
- Hwang, C.-L., & Yoon, K. (1981). Multiple attribute decision making: Methods and applications (pp. 58-191). Springer-Verlag. Berlin <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-48318-9>
- Ishizaka, Alessio, e Philippe Nemery. 2013. *Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis Methods and Software*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. New Delhi India. doi:10.1002/9781118644898
- James, A., Keith, S., & Paul, M. (2004, April 11:2). What can 'benchmarking' offer the open method of co-ordination? *Journal of European Public Policy*, 311–328. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1350176042000194458>
- Kitson, M., Martin, R., & Tyler, P. (2004). Regional Competitiveness: An Elusive yet Key Concept? *Regional Studies*, 38(9), 991–999. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0034340042000320816>
- Kresl, P. K., & Singh, B. (1999). Competitiveness and the Urban Economy: Twenty-four Large US Metropolitan Areas. *Urban Studies*, 36(5–6), 1017–1027. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0042098993330>
- Kuo, T. (2017). A modified TOPSIS with a different ranking index. *European Journal of Operational Research* 260 (1), 152–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2016.11.052>
- Lafuente, E., Araya, M., & Leiva, J. C. (2020). Assessment of local competitiveness: A composite indicator analysis of Costa Rican counties using the 'Benefit of the Doubt' model. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2020.100864>

- Lengyel, I. (2004). The pyramid model: Enhancing regional competitiveness in Hungary. *Acta Oeconomica*, 54(3), 323–342. JSTOR. doi <http://dx.doi.org/10.1556/AOecon.54.2004.3.3>
- Lengyel, I., & Rechnitzer, J. (2013). Drivers of Regional Competitiveness in the Central European Countries. *Transition Studies Review*, 20(3), 421–435. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11300-013-0294-2>
- Mahalanobis, P. C. (1936). On the generalised distance in statistics. *Proceedings of the National Institute of Sciences of India (Calcutta)*, 2, 49–55.
- Malecki, E. J. (2007). Cities and Regions Competing in the Global Economy: Knowledge and Local Development Policies. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 25(5), 638–654. <https://doi.org/10.1068/c0645>
- Milani, A. S., Shanian, A., Madoliat, R., & Nemes, J. A. (2005). The effect of normalization norms in multiple attribute decision making models: A case study in gear material selection. *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization*, 29(4), 312–318. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00158-004-0473-1>
- Möbius, P., & Althammer, W. (2020). Sustainable competitiveness: A spatial econometric analysis of European regions. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 63(3), 453–480. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2019.1593005>
- Niebuhr, A., & Stiller, S. (2003). Territorial disparities in Europe. *Intereconomics*, 38(3), 156–164. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03031767>
- OECD. (2017). *OECD Science, Technology and Industry Scoreboard 2017: The digital transformation*. OECD. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264268821-en>
- Önsel, Ş., Ülengin, F., Ulusoy, G., Aktaş, E., Kabak, Ö., & Topcu, İ. (2008). A new perspective on the competitiveness of nations. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 221–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2007.11.001>
- Pontarollo, N., & Serpieri, C. (2020). Challenges and opportunities to regional renewal in the European Union. *International Regional Science Review*, 1-28. doi:10.1177/0160017620931591
- Pike, A., Rodríguez-Pose, A., & Tomaney, J. (2016). *Local and regional development*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315767673>
- Porter, M. (1998). *Clusters and Competition: New Agendas for Companies, Governments, and Institutions*. Harvard Business School, Working Paper (98–080).
- Porter, M. (2000). Locations, Clusters, and Company Strategy. In the *Oxford Handbook of Economic Geography*. Oxford University Press (pp. 253-274) Doi <https://doi.org/10.1177/089124240001400105>
- Porter, M., & Schwab, K. (2008). *The Global Competitiveness Report 2008-2009*. World Economic Forum. Geneva, Switzerland <http://tiny.cc/c4o4tz>
- Porter, M., & Stern, S. (1999). *The new challenge to America's prosperity: Findings from the innovation index*. Council on Competitiveness Publ. Off.
- Sánchez de la Vega, J. C., Buendía Azorín, J. D., Calvo-Flores Segura, A., & Esteban Yago, M. (2019). A new measure of regional competitiveness. *Applied Economic Analysis*, 27(80), 108–126. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AEA-07-2019-0010>
- Schwab, K. (2012). *The Global Competitiveness Report 2012-2013*. World Economic Forum. Geneva. <http://tiny.cc/k4o4tz>
- Schwab, K. (2018). *The Global Competitiveness Report 2018*. World Economic Forum. Geneva. <http://tiny.cc/p4o4tz>
- Sheikh, V., Kornejady, A., & Ownegh, M. (2019). Application of the coupled TOPSIS–Mahalanobis distance for multi hazard based management of the target districts of the Golestan Province, Iran. *Natural Hazards*, 1335–1365. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-019-03617-0>
- Ülengin, F., Ülengin, B., & Önsel, Ş. (2002). A power-based measurement approach to specify macroeconomic competitiveness of countries. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 203–226. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0121\(01\)00021-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0121(01)00021-0)
- Wang, Z.-X., & Wang, Y.-Y. (2014). Evaluation of the provincial competitiveness of the Chinese high-tech industry using an improved TOPSIS method. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 41(6), 2824–2831. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2013.10.015>
- Yu, L., Pan, Y., & Wu, Y. (2009). Research on Data Normalization Methods in Multi-Attribute

Triple Helix Summit 2020

Designing globally connected regional innovation ecosystems

Evaluation. 2009 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Software Engineering, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CISE.2009.5362721>

Zhang, H., Gu, C., Gu, L., & Zhang, Y. (2011). The evaluation of tourism destination competitiveness by TOPSIS & information entropy – A case in the Yangtze River Delta of China. *Tourism Management*, 32(2), 443–451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2010.02.007>

Table of Contents

MEASURING REGIONAL COMPETITIVENESS AT EUROPEAN LEVEL: A TOPSIS APPROACH	1
---	---

Authors' Index (page numbers)

D

De Baets B.....1

F

Ferrarini F.1

M

Muzzioli S.1